

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,443	10/28/2003	Xin Wang	4007.001	8927
7590 01/12/2006		EXAMINER		
David Taylor			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
Liniak, Berenato & White Suite 240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6550 Rock Spring Drive			3724	
Bethesda, MD 20817			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		ī	TON
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. .
	10/694,443	WANG, XIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	? October 2005.		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	·		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	-, ,	` '	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• , ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the certified copies of the priority docume at the certified copies of the priority document at the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document at the certified copies of the priority document at the certified copies of the certified copies at the certified copies of	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apprincity documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	n□		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/1/04</u>. 	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/694,443

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsubara (5,870,939).

Matsubara discloses the invention including:

- Claims 1, 2 and 8; a saw unit, a motor 4b having an armature, a saw blade 4a, a base 7, a turntable 2, a bracket (see Fig. 1); a supporting member 5, a guiding groove (9a-b and 32), a ram (3 and 3a), a hinge pivot shaft 4c.
- Claim 2; a front end portion of the ram extends beyond the edge of the turntable (see Fig.
 1).
- Claim 3; an elongate slot (see Fig. 3).
- Claim 7; restraining means (9a-b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3724

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsubara (5,870,939) in view of Batson (4,265,154).

Matsubara discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for first and second supporting members, an elastic member and a guiding channel. However, Batson teaches the use of first and second supporting members 26, an elastic member 78 and a guiding channel 82 for the purpose of enabling accurate orientation of the fence relative the base support structure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Matsubara's device by providing the first and second supporting members, the elastic member and the guiding channel as taught by Batson in order to obtain a device that enables accurate orientation of the fence relative the base support structure.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. De Walt, Sexton, Meek et al., Barsotti, Freeburger and Singer are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,443

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Page 4

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs 1/4/06

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700